

Notice of Non-key Executive Decision

Subject Heading:	London Crime Prevention Fund 2019-2021
Cabinet Member:	<i>Cllr Viddy Persaud</i>
SLT Lead:	<i>Andrew Blake Herbert</i>
Report Author and contact details:	<i>Chris Stannett</i> Community Safety: Serious Group Violence & IOM Chris.stannett@havering.gov.uk 01708 434916
Policy context:	This sits under the delivery of the Havering Community Safety Plan 2017-2020 and the Serious Group Violence and Knife Crime Strategy 2017- 2022
Financial summary:	In 2019 the Mayor of London made available an additional £0.100m for Havering Community Safety partnership split equally between 2019-20 and 2020-21. Due to COVID 19 and changes in demand around Youth Violence, we are looking to re-profiling the 2020/21 portion of this funding to detached outreach work, diversionary activity and knife crime prevention.
Relevant OSC:	Crime and Disorder Overview and Scrutiny
Is this decision exempt from being called-in?	Yes It is a non-key decision by a member of staff

Non-key Executive Decision

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Communities making Havering	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Places making Havering	<input type="checkbox"/>
Opportunities making Havering	<input type="checkbox"/>
Connections making Havering	<input type="checkbox"/>

Non-key Executive Decision

Part A – Report seeking decision

DETAIL OF THE DECISION REQUESTED AND RECOMMENDED ACTION

To approve the spending plan for the re-profiling of the 2020/2021 portion of funding (sum of £0.050m) assigned by MOPAC to Havering as part of the London Crime Prevention Fund Grant 2019-2021 as set out in Appendix 2.

AUTHORITY UNDER WHICH DECISION IS MADE

3.4 Powers of Second Tier Managers

Second Tier Managers are those managers directly reporting to a SLT Director. Second Tier Managers all have delegated authority to act as follows within the assigned service, subject to the general provisions and limitations set out in section 3.1 above.

Financial responsibilities

d) In consultation with the relevant Cabinet Member, to apply for, accept and manage external funding up to a limit of £250,000 per grant in support of any function within their service provided that any financial contributions by the Council are made from within existing budgets.

STATEMENT OF THE REASONS FOR THE DECISION

The spending plan was previously agreed back in 2019, which was used for work around exclusions and keeping children within education. This work has now been mainstreamed, therefore we are seeking to re-profiling the 2020/21 (£0.050m) proportion of funding to other areas of priority which are key to reducing youth violence within the borough. Funds need to be spent before the 31st March 2021.

The funds will be spent on the projects set out in appendix 2.

OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

No alternatives to the projects set out in appendix 2 were put forward. Due to COVID-19 restrictions and the small timeframe we are limited to what services are realistically able to offer, the feasibility of running the programme and the usefulness of the programme to the need in the borough. Failure to submit a spending plan for the re-profiling of funds would result in loss of potential funding.

PRE-DECISION CONSULTATION

Discussions have taken place with the statutory partners of the Havering Community Safety Partnership on the 23rd June 2020. (This included Council, Police, Probation and Fire Service)

Non-key Executive Decision

NAME AND JOB TITLE OF STAFF MEMBER ADVISING THE DECISION-MAKER

Name: Chris Stannett

Designation: Community Safety: Serious Group Violence & IOM

Signature:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Chris', written over a horizontal line.

Date: 25/06/2020

Non-key Executive Decision

Part B - Assessment of implications and risks

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

The letter from the Mayor's Office at appendix 1 indicates that re-profiling grant funds in year will not be possible. However, the VRU have been notified of the proposed re-profiling and have approved the plans and therefore there appears to be little risk in making the proposed decision.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Failure to agree and submit a spending plan to MOPAC will result in loss of funding. In line with the letter attached in appendix 1 no funding was carried over from year 1 to year 2.

If the spending plan is not agreed services will not be delivered as there is no available budget to meet any related costs.

Deliver Breakdown of Detached work for 7 months

Sessions & Duration	Costs	Total cost including on costs*
Delivery of Monday-Friday sessions for 7 months (30 weeks)	3 staff doing 3 days a week Monday-Friday @ £438.75 for 30 weeks = £13,162.50	3 x staff doing 3 x sessions Monday-Friday for 7 months = £15,005.7
Delivery of 1 weekend session for 7 months (30 weeks)	3 x staff doing 1 weekend session @ £146.25 per day for 30 weeks = £4,387.5	Weekend delivery for 7 months = £5,001.9
Resources including arts and crafts equipment, ingredients for hot drinks and snacks for young people.		£592.4
Total cost of delivery	Monday-Friday Sessions @ £15,005.7 + Weekend delivery @ £5,001.9 + Resources and other costs @ £592.40	£20,600.00

*Recruitment, management, pension and national insurance contributions

Delivery – Noise Solutions

Sessions and Duration	Cost p.p	Number of young people	Total Cost
2 hours per week for 10 weeks	£1,100	18 young people will be identified	£19,800.00

Delivery – Street Doctors “Young Lifesavers”

Sessions & Duration	Cost per session	Total Cost
---------------------	------------------	------------

Non-key Executive Decision

48 x Sessions to be delivered over 24 months	48 x sessions to be delivered at £200 per session	£9,600
Total cost of all three programmes		£50,000
Providing the grant conditions are adhered to there would appear to be little financial risk in making the proposed decision.		

HUMAN RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS (AND ACCOMMODATION IMPLICATIONS WHERE RELEVANT)

No direct HR implications arising out of this report.

EQUALITIES AND SOCIAL INCLUSION IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

The Public Sector Equality Duty (PSED) under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 requires the Council, when exercising its functions, to have due regard to:

- (i) The need to eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act 2010;*
- (ii) The need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share protected characteristics and those who do not, and;*
- (iii) Foster good relations between those who have protected characteristics and those who do not.*

Note: 'Protected characteristics' are age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnerships, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex/gender, sexual orientation.

The Council is committed to all of the above in the provision, procurement and commissioning of its services, and the employment of its workforce. In addition, the Council is also committed to improving the quality of life and wellbeing for all Havering residents in respect of socio-economics and health determinants.

A full equalities impact assessment was completed as part of the Serious Group Violence and Knife Crime strategy 2019-22

BACKGROUND PAPERS

None

Non-key Executive Decision

Appendix 1: MOPAC Letter

Appendix 2: Bid proposal Part C – Record of decision

I have made this executive decision in accordance with authority delegated to me by the Leader of the Council and in compliance with the requirements of the Constitution.

Decision

Proposal agreed

Details of decision maker

Signed



Sue Harper
Interim Assistant Director for Environment

Date: 14/07/2020

Lodging this notice

The signed decision notice must be delivered to the proper officer, Debra Marlow, Principal Democratic Services Officer in Democratic Services, in the Town Hall.

For use by Committee Administration

This notice was lodged with me on _____

Signed _____